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## NEW JERSEY'S STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

- In 2015, New Jersey adopted the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) to replace HSPA and previous assessments in the elementary and middle school in language arts and mathematics.
- In 2019, the test was reconfigured and renamed the NJSLA, New Jersey Student Learning Assessment.
- Students took NJSLA English Language Arts and Literacy Assessments in grades 3-10.
- Students took NJSLA Mathematics Assessments in grades 3-8 and End of Course Assessments in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.
- NJSLA ELA 10 and Algebra 1 are high school graduation requirements. Details can be found at:
https://www.state.ni.us/education/assessment/parents/GradReq.pdf


## ANALYZING THE DATA

■ Collaborative process between central office administrators, principals, supervisors, teachers

■ What do we see? Engage in an inquiry process:

- Groups gather to identify:
- What happened in this current year?
- How does it compare to previous performance - year to year comparison?
- How did particular cohorts perform? (following a grade level over time)

■ Develop action plans for moving forward

- Impacts professional development
- Curriculum development


## NJSLA PERFORMANCE LEVELS

NJSLA uses five performance levels that delineate the knowledge, skills, and practices students are able to demonstrate:

| Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet <br> Meet <br> Expectations | Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | Level 3: <br> Approached Expectations | Level 4: Met Expectations | Level 5: <br> Exceeded Expectations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## NJSLA PARTICIPATION LEVELS

|  | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 83\% | 97\% | 100\% | 100\% | Enrolled: $412$ | Valid Test Scores: $407$ | 99\% |
| Grade 4 | 81\% | 94\% | 97\% | 100\% | 475 | 471 | 99\% |
| Grade 5 | 83\% | 95\% | 95\% | 98\% | 494 | 488 | 99\% |
| Grade 6 | 70\% | 96\% | 99\% | 98\% | 487 | 480 | 99\% |
| Grade 7 | 70\% | 92\% | 98\% | 99\% | 496 | 484 | 98\% |
| Grade 8 | 66\% | 92\% | 97\% | 97\% | 492 | 486 | 99\% |
| Grade 9 | 48\% | 91\% | 98\% | 99\% | 463 | 452 | 98\% |
| Grade 10 | 32\% | 61\% | 84\% | 98\% | 494 | 489 | 99\% |
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## COHORT ANALYSIS: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 78 | 78 | 83 | 83 | 82 |
| Grade 4 | 81 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 85 |
| Grade 5 | 82 | 82 | 83 | 86 | 85 |
| Grade 6 | 71 | 71 | 81 | 86 | 82 |
| Grade 7 | 75 | 73 | 89 | 87 | 90 |
| Grade 8 | 75 | 74 | 88 | 91 | 92 |
| Grade 9 | 65 | 68 | 78 | 87 | 88 |
| Grade 10 | 69 | 54 | 63 | 75 | 83 |

## COHORT ANALYSIS: MATHEMATICS

|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 72 | 79 | 82 | 84 | 82 |
| Grade 4 | 69 | 72 | 75 | 72 | 76 |
| Grade 5 | 68 | 71 | 69 | 76 | 73 |
| Grade 6 | 68 | 74 | 72 | 75 | 72 |
| Grade 7 | 57 | 60 | 68 | 72 | 74 |
| Grade 8 | 36 | 18 | 57 | 52 | 59 |
| Algebra I | 65 | 56 | 67 | 76 | 80 |
| Geometry | 64 | 60 | 58 | 60 | 65 |
| Algebra II | 74 | 66 | 64 | 59 | 73 |

## DYNAMIC LEARNING MAPS (DLM)

| Grade | Subject | Percentage of Students <br> "At Target/Advanced" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | English Language Arts Mathematics | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 40 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | English Language Arts Mathematics | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | English Language Arts Mathematics Science | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ 17 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | English Language Arts Mathematics | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | English Language Arts Mathematics | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | English Language Arts Mathematics Science | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | English Language Arts Mathematics Science | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

## NEXT STEPS

- Increased emphasis on explicit and embedded vocabulary development in both ELA \& Math in order to increase ability to communicate ideas in the content area.

■ Use of benchmarking and formative assessment to drive instruction. This "just in time" data enriches our teachers' perspective and enables us to target instruction to student needs.

■ Continued use of released items to inform our resources use of multimedia items, media clips, graphs, charts augment the "texts" students read and hone their informational reading texts.

